

Eat the Frog: Time for a Privacy Risk Analysis

Let's be honest—performing a security risk analysis is the worst

By Mary M. A. Potter, CISA, CRISC



As an IT auditor or audit manager, we are often tasked with performing the security risk analysis for the system we support. In order to be compliant with the HIPAA Privacy Rule, providers are required to perform reviews of the risks associated with their handling of protected health information (PHI).

The statute requires providers to review the protections around electronic PHI periodically. If your facility receives Meaningful Use incentives, you are required to perform this analysis annually. The results of the analysis are mainly centered on PHI but are applicable to all of the confidential information within your organization.

The risk analysis performed should be “an accurate and thorough assessment of the potential risks and vulnerability to the confidentiality, integrity and availability of electronic protected health information.”

So what does this mean?

You have to look at each of the elements in the regulation and identify the good, the bad and the ugly. The analysis will include all of your policies as well as the physical and technical safeguards.

Honestly speaking—as a geek—technical safeguards are the best. We love to brag about the technology we have in place and use the risk analysis as an opportunity to moan about what the other guys have that we really want. Geeks see this process as a way to justify new toys. We know you do too, so just admit it.

Since we all hate sitting in a room reviewing policies for hours, what is the best way to evaluate the controls you have in place and identify the ones you do not? The process

is resource-intensive, can be tedious and is clearly a political landmine.

A look at your options

There are three basic options in this process.

Option 1 – Don't do a risk analysis and pray you will not be audited

You might have excellent karma and might be able to get away with it, but I doubt it. The Office of Civil Rights has stated that this is one of the most significant areas of failure by covered entities.

Plenty of evidence shows that not having a periodic risk analysis will result in larger fines in the event of a breach. Just look at the fines for “willful neglect.” If your organization is selected for an audit and does not have a reasonable risk analysis undertaken, your management will see this as a resume-generating event for all those involved in the decision to “wait on the analysis”.

Option 2 – Perform the risk analysis in-house

The first question to consider is: Do we as an organization have a team that can adequately perform the audit? Do we have the skill set, the understanding of the requirements, the time and the validation tools within the organization? Keep in mind that the analysis should be objective, so using resources from other departments may not be an option.

Look at the pros and cons of keeping the review in-house.

Because you know your organization, you know who to call, where the policies are located and who owns them. This may make it easier to gather the information and require

There will be process or technology gaps, and if there are not, then you should question whether the risk analysis was performed adequately.

less time for the initial part of the review. Another possible pro is the cost. Although it is less expensive than hiring someone from the outside, your staff will be taken away from performing other activities, so it is not free.

Do not forget the cons. Internal audit expends a great deal of time building relationships with management at all levels. We provide consultation for their projects and help assess their departments. I would venture to say that we may have a friendly relationship with those audited. As auditors, we work to keep our reviews objective at all times, but we do lose some objectivity.

Because we may know the area well, or think we do, we may make assumptions about the effectiveness of the controls in place. In addition, because the full risk analysis may cross departmental boundaries, there will be friction, no matter how refined your diplomacy skills may be. If you have not performed a full scale review before, you may want to consider Option 3.

Option 3. Outsourcing

Outsourcing means that you will have the fun of meeting lots of consultants. They will try to take you to lunch and will give you lots of glossy brochures that you can use to line your wastebasket. Most of them will be very well dressed and may have absolutely no technical understanding whatsoever.

The pros of outsourcing are the limitation of internal bias and limited disruption of day-to-day operations. You may also be able to stretch the engagement to the development of objective action plans. If you choose this path, consider balancing periodic external analysis with annual internal reviews.

Carefully consider your strategy for selecting a consultant. Engage related stakeholders to consider the scope of the review and determine who the decision makers will be. Review potential consultants for their methodology, track record, cost structure, and whether they have other relationships to your organization that may limit their ability to provide an objective analysis.

When you have selected a consulting service that meets your needs, be sure to manage the relationship carefully. Be cautious not to allow the scope of the analysis to expand without consideration. If you are not watchful, a six-week risk analysis can become a one-year project with them moving into the office down the hall.

The auditor gets audited

Next comes the part where the tables turn. You are now answering the questions and gathering information. Assist the consultants with getting access to the right people within your organization.

Don't become the client we all hate. You know the one I mean—the one who carefully parses every question and only answers the very confined, literal interpretation of the question. They respond to what you asked but not what you really need to know.

If your facility receives Meaningful Use incentives, you are required to perform an analysis of electronic PHI protections.

This type of external risk analysis is no time to hide out-of-date policies, or show them the up-to-date server “at random” when you know it is the only one in the room with the security updates deployed. Take advantage of having the consultants in house to get a clear view of process or technology gaps. There will be gaps, and if there are not, then you should question whether the risk analysis was performed adequately.

A walk through ulcer gulch

Regardless of the path selected, you will end up with a completed risk analysis. At this point, you have gone through the process of files being poked at, servers being

